Structural Basis of the Role of the NikA Ribbon-Helix-Helix Domain in Initiating Bacterial Conjugation
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Conjugation is a fundamental process for the rapid evolution of bacteria, enabling them, for example, to adapt to various environmental conditions or to acquire multi-drug resistance. NikA is one of the relaxosomal proteins that initiate the intercellular transfer of the R64 conjugative plasmid with the P-type origin of transfer, oriT. The three-dimensional structure of the N-terminal 51 residue fragment of NikA, NikA(1–51), which binds to the 17-bp repeat A sequence in R64 oriT, was determined by NMR to be a homodimer composed of two identical ribbon-helix-helix (RHH) domains, which are commonly found in transcriptional repressors. The structure determination of NikA(1–51) was achieved using automated NOE assignment with CYANA, without measuring filtered NOESY experiments to distinguish between the intra- and intermolecular NOEs, and without any a priori assumption on the tertiary or quaternary structure of the protein. Mutational experiments revealed that the DNA-binding region of the NikA(1–51) dimer is an anti-parallel β-sheet composed of one β-strand from each of the N-terminal ends of the two domains. Various biochemical experiments have indicated that the full length NikA(1–109) exists as a homotetramer formed through an α-helical domain at the C-terminus, and that the anti-parallel β-sheets of both dimeric domains bind to two homologous 5 bp internal repeats within repeat A. As a tetramer, the full length NikA(1–109) showed higher affinity to repeat A and bent the oriT duplex more strongly than NikA(1–51) did. Many RHH proteins are involved in specific DNA recognition and in protein–protein interactions. The discovery of the RHH fold in NikA suggests that NikA binds to oriT and interacts with the relaxase, NikB, which is unable to bind to the nick region in oriT without NikA.
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Introduction

Bacterial conjugation is the main route for horizontal gene transfer in prokaryotes.¹,² The process is encoded by diverse plasmids and conjugative transposons. Conjugation systems are remarkable in mediating transfer between a wide range of bacteria and, in some cases, from bacteria to fungal and plant cells. Conjugation allows rapid
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evolution, resulting in organisms with new clinical or environmental characteristics. In particular, antibiotic resistance is related to the conjugative transfer of mobile genetic elements.

The processing of plasmid DNA during bacterial conjugation involves several steps. Upon the initiation of bacterial conjugation, a site- and strand-specific nick is introduced into the nick site in the origin of transfer (oriT) by an oriT-specific relaxase and auxiliary proteins, which form a protein–DNA complex (called the relaxosome) at the oriT site. The nicked single strand is then transferred from the donor to the recipient cell. Finally, religation of the transferred strand by the relaxase and replacement- and complementary-strand DNA syntheses establish double-stranded plasmid DNAs in the donor and recipient cells, respectively.

Various conjugative plasmids, such as F, R388, RP4, R100 and R64, carry their own specific oriT sites. Each oriT sequence is recognized by proteins encoded on its own plasmid, consisting of a specific relaxase and auxiliary proteins. Three major groups of oriT-relaxase systems have been identified: P-type, Q-type and F-type.

The P-type oriT carries the nick region sequence YATCCCTGY (the vertical bar represents the nick site). The relaxases encoded on the plasmids with a P-type oriT, R64 NikB, RP4 TraI, R751 TraI and pTF-FC2 MobA, share conserved N-terminal motifs. It is thought that the nick region, YATCCCTGY, is recognized by the conserved motifs of these relaxases.

The relaxases with the P-type oriT have two other similarities. The nick sites of R64 and RP4 are situated 8 bp away from the 17 bp and 19 bp inverted repeats, respectively, although the sequences of the inverted repeats are different. Furthermore, there are 8 bp or 6 bp G + C-rich inverted repeats 6–54 bp away from the 17 bp or 19 bp inverted repeats. These two sets of inverted repeat sequences are required for the efficient termination of the DNA transfer.

For the initiation of DNA transfer by R64, the binding of NikA to the first 17 bp inverted repeat, repeat A, is necessary. Repeat A differs from the left inverted repeat, repeat B, by a single nucleotide (Fig. 1a). In the case of RP4, TraJ was shown to bind specifically to the first 19 bp repeat with three nucleotide mismatches with the second inverted repeat. NikA shares significant homology with RP4 TraJ (30% sequence identity in a 94 amino-acid overlap). Furthermore, R751 TraJ and pTF-FC2 MobB have 25% and 23% identity with the 109 residues of R64 NikA, respectively. These similarities suggest that a DNA-binding auxiliary protein, encoded on plasmids with the P-type oriT, binds in the vicinity of the nick site and recruits the relaxase.

The 110 codons of the R64 nikA gene encode a basic polypeptide. The purified full-length NikA protein consists of 109 amino acids, with the initial methionine removed after in vivo translation. To elucidate the molecular mechanism of the initiation of DNA transfer by the R64 plasmid, the three-dimensional structure of the NikA protein was characterized by solution state NMR. The molecular mechanism of the initiation of DNA transfer of conjugative plasmids with P-type oriT is discussed.

Results

oriT binding by the deletion mutant NikA(1–51)

To determine the DNA-binding domain of NikA, a series of NikA deletion mutants were constructed. Gel retardation assays and DNase I footprint analysis of the deletion mutants revealed that the NikA fragment encompassing residues 1–51, NikA(1–51) (Fig. 1b), retains the ability to bind specifically to repeat A (Fig. 1a).

NikA proteins with further deletions lacked the repeat A binding ability. Interestingly, the DNase I sensitive region adjacent to repeat A was not observed in the DNase I footprint analysis of NikA(1–51) (data not shown). NikA(1–51) was shown to be inactive in R64 conjugation and relaxation complex formation.
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Homodimerization of NikA(1–51)

We assessed the oligomeric state of NikA(1–51). Size-exclusion chromatography showed that NikA (1–51) exists as a single oligomer at a protein final elution concentration higher than 0.1 mM, whereas NikA(1–51) exists in a monomer–oligomer equilibrium at a lower concentration (Fig. 2a). The existence of only one set of peaks in the $^{1}H$–$^{15}N$ heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum confirmed the presence of only a single type of oligomer at a protein concentration between 0.1 mM and 2.0 mM, whereas the existence of more than one set of peaks in the $^{1}H$–$^{15}N$ HSQC spectrum at a protein concentration of 5 μM indicated a monomer–oligomer equilibrium at a lower concentration (Fig. 2b). Cross-linking experiments with dimethyl pimelimidate (DMP; SIGMA) were performed to further characterize the oligomeric state of NikA(1–51) at the optimum temperature, 37 °C, and the optimum pH, 8.5. A cross-linking time course (1–120 min) experiment, with a DMP concentration of 50 mM, indicated that 1 h is sufficient for the reaction (Fig. 2c, left-hand panel). In the presence of increasing concentrations of DMP (1–50 mM) with incubation for 1 h, greater amounts of the cross-linked dimer were detected unambiguously (Fig. 2c, right-hand panel). This cross-linking experiment demonstrated that only a single oligomeric state exists at concentrations higher than 0.1 mM, and that it is a dimer.

A search of the Protein Data Bank revealed that residues 16–51 of NikA(1–51) share relatively high sequence identity (25%) with a dimeric protein, residues 8–46 of the Arc repressor. Interestingly, the MYL mutant (residues 8–46) of the Arc repressor has an even higher sequence identity, 28%, with residues 16–51 of NikA(1–51). In the MYL mutant, M31, Y36 and L40 contact each other via hydrophobic interactions and increase the stability of the protein. Hence, it is possible that the LYA (L39, Y44 and A48) residues at the corresponding positions in NikA(1–51) contact each other in the same manner as the MYL residues of the Arc repressor mutant. Moreover, the finding that the same positions of the secondary structure elements of NikA(1–51) exhibit slow amide proton exchange with water, as well as the results of the TALOS$^{12}$ analysis of the chemical shift information, suggested that residues 16–51 of NikA(1–51) adopt a fold similar to that of the MYL mutant of the Arc repressor, which forms a homodimer. The homodimeric structure of NikA(1–51) was determined by the program CYANA, as described below, and was found to be similar to the structure of the homodimeric MYL mutant of the Arc repressor. A search for nuclear Overhauser effects (NOEs) that might identify a dimer–dimer interface and thus a tetrameric structure was unsuccessful. These results indicated that NikA(1–51) forms a homodimer at a high concentration of protein.

Although most of the experimental results suggested that NikA(1–51) exists as a homodimer, the apparent molecular mass ($M_{app}$) of NikA(1–51), determined by size-exclusion chromatography (Fig. 2a) and sedimentation equilibrium analysis (14 kDa at 0.15 mM and 23 kDa at 0.5 mM), was larger than that expected for a dimer. Additionally, the $^{15}N$ relaxation measurements, $T_1$, $T_2$, and $^{1}H$–$^{15}N$ NOE, yielded rotational correlation times, $\tau_m$, of the α-helix and β-sheet regions of 9.1 ns and 11.8 ns at protein concentrations of 0.3 mM and 2.0 mM, respectively. These correlation times are longer than those expected from the molecular mass of this dimer. These observations seem to suggest that NikA(1–51) also forms higher-order oligomers. However, non-specific associations have reportedly changed the values of $M_{app}$ and $\tau_m$ for several proteins, including the dynamin pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, and the N-terminal domain of H-NS. In these cases, it was not possible to deduce the precise molecular mass from the values of $M_{app}$ and $\tau_m$. In order to assess whether the large $M_{app}$ and the high $\tau_m$ are the result of a non-specific association, the $^{1}H$–$^{15}N$ HSQC spectrum recorded for the 2.0 mM sample was compared with that obtained for the 0.1 mM sample. The two spectra are almost identical, with only a few cross-peaks exhibiting detectable chemical shift perturbations, and all of them were less than 0.04 ppm and 0.12 ppm in the $^{1}H$ and $^{15}N$ dimensions, respectively. The lack of concentration dependence of the chemical shift suggests that the concentration-dependent increase of $\tau_m$ is due to a non-specific association. Likewise, the relaxation parameters $T_1$ and $T_2$ in the protein core, measured in the 2.0 mM sample, exhibited a pattern similar to that for the 0.3 mM data (Fig. 2d). This indicates that the concentration dependence of the $T_1$ and $T_2$ values in the protein core is not affected by a structural
change, but by the change in the overall tumbling rate of the protein core. These observations revealed that non-specific association biased the $M_{app}$ and $\tau_m$ values, and thus they could not provide a precise molecular mass. Moreover, the N-terminal flexible tail (residues 1–15) may contribute to the higher value of $M_{app}$ determined by size-exclusion chromatography. Therefore, we concluded that NikA(1–51) forms a homodimer.

**Resonance assignment**

The solution structure of NikA(1–51) was solved by multi-dimensional heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy, using a protein concentration of 2.0 mM. The presence of only one set of peaks on the $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectrum of NikA(1–51) indicated that the homodimer is structurally symmetric. The resonance assignments for the carbon-bound protons, the backbone amide protons and the side chain amide protons of Asn and Gln and their associated $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N nuclei in the structured region of residues 16–51 were complete, except for R18 γCH$_2$, S23 βCH$_2$, K32 εCH$_2$, K33 α/γ/δ/εCHn, E35 γCH$_2$, S42 βCH$_2$, and R46 γCH$_2$. For the complete polypeptide chain of 51 residues, 90% of the aforementioned $^1$H nuclei were assigned. The trans conformation of the single Xxx-Pro peptide bond was confirmed by the intense XxX-Pro-H$^4$ sequential NOE spectroscopy (NOESY) cross-peaks, and by a $\delta^{(13)}C^\gamma$ – $\delta^{(13)}C^\delta$ chemical shift difference of 3.2 ppm.

**Structure determination of the NikA(1–51) homodimer by CYANA**

In the case of a symmetric homodimer, the assignment of NOE cross-peaks is complicated by the ambiguity between the intramolecular and intermolecular assignments. In principle, the assignments of the intramolecule, intermolecule and mixed (intra- and intermolecule) NOE cross-peaks can be distinguished by two methods. In one method, the assignments are obtained by using heterodimeric samples, in which one monomer is isotopically labeled with $^{15}$N and/or $^{13}$C and the other is not, in combination with isotope-filtered experiments. In the other method, the assignments are done by using a structure of a highly homologous protein as a template structure. The first method generally suffers from the low sensitivity of isotope-filtered experiments, and bias may be introduced by the second approach. We therefore adopted a different strategy.

For the structure determination of NikA(1–51), the assignments of the intramolecule, intermolecule and mixed (intra- and intermolecule) NOEs were obtained by automated NOE assignment with a version of the program CYANA adapted for the structure calculation of homodimeric proteins (see Materials and Methods). The NikA (1–51) structure was determined on the basis of 1672 NOE-based distance restraints, including 280 intermolecular contacts, and restraints for 16 α-helical hydrogen bonds. The structure is well defined by the NMR data, with an RMSD of 0.31 Å for the backbone atoms of residues 16–51 (Table 1). The solution structure, represented by the final 20 conformers and by a ribbon diagram, is presented in Fig. 3.

The homodimeric structure of NikA(1–51), obtained without using any predefined intermolecular restraints, consists of an unstructured tail of residues 1–15 and a closely packed structured region of residues 16–51 with an intermolecular two-stranded antiparallel β-sheet and two α-helices. The structure overlapped well with the structure of the MYL mutant of the Arc repressor, and the side chains of the LYA sequence (L39, Y44, and A48) are involved in hydrophobic interactions, like the MYL sequence of the Arc repressor mutant. The structures of NikA(1–51) are in agreement with the hydrogen bond correlations of an intermolecular antiparallel β-sheet, V16-HN-F22-C, R18-HN-L20-C, L20-HN-R18-C and F22-HN-V16-C, which were identified in the long-
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Fig. 3 (legend on previous page)
range \( J_{\text{HNCO}} \) HNCO spectrum\(^{19}\) (Fig. 3c), but were not used as input for the structure calculation.

**Homotetramer formation by full-length NikA**

In order to explore the oligomeric state of full-length NikA, we subjected the protein to size-exclusion chromatography. The full-length NikA protein eluted as a single peak at all concentrations tested (1.4 \( \mu M < C_i < 1.3 \text{mM} \)), whereas NikA(1–51) eluted as two peaks at the lower concentrations. The elution times of these single peaks were concentration-dependent, corresponding to a molecular mass of 45 kDa for \( C_i = 1.3 \text{mM} \) and \( C_i = 140 \mu M \), 42 kDa for \( C_i = 14 \mu M \), and 39 kDa for \( C_i = 1.4 \mu M \). It is likely that full-length NikA exists in an equilibrium among various oligomers. DMP cross-linking of full-length NikA generated dimers, trimers and tetramers, indicating that it forms a tetramer (Fig. 4). The presence of a strong dimer band, as well as trimer and tetramer bands that were almost as intense as the dimer band of NikA(1–51), indicated that a new oligomeric interface exists in the C-terminal region of NikA. Secondary structure predictions suggested that two \( \alpha \)-helices exist in the C-terminal region, involving residues 52–109. These results indicated that the C-terminal region of NikA contains an \( \alpha \)-helix-rich domain that is responsible for homotrimer formation.

**A polar amino acid in the antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheet in the RHH domain binds DNA**

Proteins with the ribbon-helix-helix (RHH) fold usually bind to DNA with an intermolecular antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheet. Basic residues on this \( \beta \)-sheet are especially important for DNA binding. The \( \beta \)-sheet of NikA contains two basic residues, R18 and R21 (Fig. 1b). The positively charged side chain of R21 is oriented toward the inside of the \( \beta \)-sheet, whereas the side chain of R18 is oriented toward the inside of the protein. The NikA mutants R18L and R21L were constructed in order to verify that NikA utilizes its intermolecular \( \beta \)-sheet to bind to repeat A. The alterations of R18 and R21 to leucine were designed to maintain the hydrophobic characteristics of the arginine side chain.\(^{20-26}\) A comparison of the \( ^{1}H-^{15}N \) HSQC spectra of the mutant and wild type proteins indicated that neither the structure of the \( \beta \)-sheet region nor that of the whole protein were affected by either the R18L or R21L mutation. The repeat A binding by the NikA mutant was measured by a gel retardation assay (Fig. 5). The absence of oriT-specific binding by the R21L mutant confirmed that NikA, like other RHH proteins, binds to oriT with its intermolecular \( \beta \)-sheet.

**oriT bending by NikA and NikA(1-51)**

The binding of NikA to repeat A bends the oriT DNA.\(^{3} \) This NikA-induced bending of oriT DNA might have an important role in nicking at the oriT nick site. In order to assess the role of the C-terminal region of NikA in the oriT DNA bending, the DNA-bending activities of full-length NikA and NikA(1–51) were compared, using a permuted set of pKK524 DNA fragments in which the position of the 44-bp oriT core sequence was varied (Fig. 6a). The oriT core sequence contains repeat A and the nick site, but not repeat B. For both full-length NikA and NikA(1–51), the EcoRV-generated fragment migrated more slowly in the gel retardation assay than the Mlu- or BamHI-generated fragments. The DNA-bending assay revealed that NikA(1–51) retains the DNA-bending activity, but shows a lesser extent of bending than full-length NikA (Fig. 6). Moreover, the DNAse I footprint analysis of NikA (1–51) showed no DNase I-hypersensitive phosphodiester bond, which appears when NikA binds to repeat A (data not shown). These experiments revealed that both the N-terminal RHH domains and the C-terminal region of NikA are required for the conformational change of the oriT DNA, whereas the N-terminal RHH domains are sufficient for specific repeat A binding.

**Discussion**

There are many published structures representing the RHH fold of transcriptional repressors, either alone or in complexes with their target DNA: Arc,\(^{9,10}\) including the MYL mutant,\(^{11}\) Mnt,\(^{27}\) MetJ,\(^{28,29}\) CopG,\(^{30,31}\) \( \omega \),\(^{32}\) ParG,\(^{33}\) and NikR.\(^{34,35}\) Sequence
**Fig. 5.** Effects of the R18L and R21L mutations on the specific binding of NikA to a 162 bp DNA fragment containing the 96 bp minimal R64 oriT sequence. In the left-hand panel, the sidechains of R18 (black) and R21 (white) are shown in a stereo view of the ribbon representation of the RHH domain (residues 16–51). In the right-hand panel, the DNA–protein mixtures were electrophoresed in a 4% polyacrylamide gel. The open and filled triangles point to the free DNA and the NikA-bound DNA, respectively.

**Fig. 6.** DNA bending upon binding of full-length NikA or NikA(1–51). (a) DNA structure of the plasmid pKK524. pKK524 was generated by cloning the 44 bp oriT core sequence into the DNA-bending vector pBend2. The continuous line at the top represents a restriction map of the region in pKK524 surrounding the cloned fragment. The cloned fragment, shown as an open bar, contains the 44 bp oriT core sequence. The horizontal arrow within the box represents the 17 bp repeat A sequence, and the downward arrowhead indicates the nick site. The continuous lines beneath the map represent DNA fragments derived from pKK524 DNA digested with different restriction enzymes. The ovals above the lines represent NikA or NikA(1–51) protein bound to oriT DNA, which may induce DNA bending. (b) Gel retardation assay of the DNA fragments. DNA from pKK524 was digested with MluI, EcoRV and BamHI, and then incubated with 50 ng of full length NikA or NikA(1–51) protein at 37 °C. The mixtures were analyzed by 4% PAGE.
alignments with these RHH proteins, which function as transcriptional repressors, suggested that three DNA-binding proteins required for bacterial conjugation may have the RHH fold: TraY, TrwA, and TaxA. Here, we determined for the first time the three-dimensional structure of the N-terminal fragment of NikA, which revealed that NikA, one of the relaxosome-constituting proteins involved in initiating the intercellular transfer of the R64 plasmid during bacterial conjugation, is an RHH protein.

Repeat A, to which NikA binds, and the nick region, YATCCTG\|Y, which NikB seems to recognize, are only 1 bp apart (Fig. 1). Even a deletion or insertion of a single basepair between repeat A and the nick region is not permissible. RHH proteins are involved in specific DNA recognition and in protein–protein interactions: (i) The ParG repressor interacts with DNA sequences upstream of the parFG genes and with the ParF partition protein, in both the absence and the presence of target DNA. (ii) FitA binds to the 150 bp fit promoter sequence containing the translational start site and to the FitB protein. (iii) The MetJ repressor binds to a number of operators with the co-repressor S-adenosylmethionine. (iv) The plasmid stabilization protein ParD, which binds to the promoter region by the N-terminal RH fold domain, also binds to the toxin protein ParE, probably by the C-terminal extension. The finding that NikA is an RHH protein implies that NikA is involved in binding to repeat A, and is involved in binding to the relaxase, NikB, which is unable to bind to the nick region without NikA, and NikA probably recruits NikB by directly contacting NikB.

The co-crystal structures of three RHH proteins (Arc, MetJ and CopG repressor) revealed that each repressor molecule interacts directly with the bases through a pair of antiparallel β-strands inserted into the major groove of B-form DNA. The mutation of the Arg residue on its antiparallel β-strands confirmed that NikA interacts also with the major groove of double-stranded B-form DNA in repeat A with the antiparallel β-strands. Most RHH proteins bind as tetramers with two dimeric DNA-binding domains to an operator sequence containing two tandem binding sites, usually arranged as an inverted repeat, which allows a mismatch of a few nucleotides. The center-to-center distance between the tandem operator subsites is typically one turn of the B-form DNA helix or less. The existence of this kind of inverted repeat implies that one NikA homotetramer binds to one repeat A.

The binding of NikA to repeat A causes oriT DNA bending. The DNA-bending assay of NikA revealed that the C-terminal tetramerization domain is responsible for the DNA bending, which presumably has an important role in the initiation of the DNA transfer. This is a significant feature of NikA, because no N-terminal or C-terminal extension of other RHH proteins has been found to induce DNA bending. NikA binds to repeat A, the right repeat, whereas it does not bind to repeat B, the left inverted repeat, which differs by only one nucleotide from repeat A. This means that one of the two RHH domains of NikA recognizes this difference of a single nucleotide; therefore, one of the two RHH domains of NikA fails to recognize this difference, and has lower affinity than the other. The C-terminal tetramerization domain, which connects the two RHH fold domains, seems to be required to increase the binding affinity and the ability to bend DNA.

A structural model for the mechanism of nicking at the nick site within oriT by the auxiliary protein NikA and the relaxase NikB is shown in Fig. 7. Secondary structure predictions and a sequence alignment, based on the structures of NikA, R751 TraJ, RP4 TraJ and pTF-FC2 MobB, implied that the N-terminal RHH fold domain and the two C-terminal α-helices also exist in the latter three proteins. The auxiliary proteins of plasmids with

---

**Fig. 7.** Model of the action mechanism of NikA in bacterial conjugation. The two RHH fold domains of NikA are shown in green and yellow. The α-helix-rich C-terminal tetrameric region is represented by gray ellipsoids, and NikB is depicted as a large ellipsoid.
the P-type oriT generally adopt a homotetrameric RHH domain-containing fold with a C-terminal tetramerization domain.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation

The NikA, NikA(1–51) and NikA(R18L/R21L) proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) Star (Invitrogen) at 37 °C. Unlabeled and uniformly labeled proteins with NMR active stable isotopes were produced by growing the E. coli cells in M9 minimal medium. The proteins were purified by chromatography on P11-phosphocellulose (Whatman), MonoS (Amerham Biosciences) and Superdex 75 gel-filtration columns (Amerham Biosciences). NMR samples contained 2 mM NikA (1–51) dissolved in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), containing 50 mM NaCl and 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃.

Gel retardation assay

Purified NikA/NikA(1–51) protein (0.05–0.3 μg) and DNA fragments of the plasmid pKK224⁴ containing the oriT sequence (0.1–0.3 μg) were mixed in 25 μl of 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 7.5% (v/v) glycerol. After incubation at room temperature for 10 min, the reaction mixtures were terminated by adding sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), containing 200 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), containing 50 mM NaCl and 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃.

Cross-linking

Protein samples were dissolved in 100 mM triethanolamine, pH 8.5, to a final concentration of 0.3 mg/ml. Various concentrations of DMP (10, 50, 200, and 500 mM) in methanol was added to all samples (including controls) to achieve a final concentration of methanol of 10% (v/v). The reactions were incubated at 37 °C, and terminated by adding sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) and 2X SDS gel sample buffer. The samples were heated to 90 °C for 5 min and analyzed by Tris-Tricine SDS-PAGE, which is commonly used to separate proteins in the mass range of 1-100 kDa.⁴⁴

Size-exclusion chromatography

Size-exclusion chromatography was performed on a Superdex 75 HR 10/30 column equilibrated with 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), containing 200 mM NaCl. Protein samples were applied at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The column was calibrated using ovalbumin (43 kDa), chymotrypsinogen A (25 kDa), RNase A (14 kDa) and aprotinin (7 kDa) as molecular mass standards.

Analytical ultracentrifugation

Analytical ultracentrifugation experiments were carried out with a Hitachi model CP100x ultracentrifuge (Hitachi Koki Co.). Sedimentation equilibrium experiments were performed at 20 °C. A value of 0.74 ml/mg was assumed for the partial specific volume of the sample.

NMR spectroscopy

All NMR spectra were acquired at 25 °C on Bruker AV500 and DRX600 spectrometers, using triple resonance probes equipped with a pulsed field gradient coil. Standard heteronuclear multidimensional NMR experiments were performed: ¹H-¹H HSQC, HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HN(CA)CB, and CBCA(CO)NH for the main-chain assignment, and ¹H-¹H CT-HSQC, HBHA(CO)NH, C(CO)NH, H(CCO)NH, HCCCH-TOCSY, HCCCH-COSY, and ¹H-edited TOCSY for the side-chain assignment.⁴⁵,⁴⁶ Distance information was obtained from ¹H-¹H NOE and ¹H-¹H NOESY spectra with a mixing time of 100 ms. Filtered NOESY experiments to distinguish between the intra- and intermolecular NOEs were not performed. The ¹H chemical shifts were referenced to the external standard 2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonate sodium salt (DSS). The ¹C and ¹H chemical shifts were referenced indirectly from DSS by using the frequency ratios 0.251449519 and 0.101329112 for ¹C/¹H and ¹N/¹H, respectively.⁴⁷ ¹H-¹H T₁, ¹H-¹H T₂ relaxation times and ¹H-¹H NOE data were measured as described.⁴⁸ Relaxation delays of up to 1 s for T₁ and 80 ms for T₂ measurements were used. Relaxation curves were characterized by 5 – 12 points. The long-range ¹H-¹H NOESY experiment was carried out with the transfer time 2T to 133 ms ≈ 2¹N/¹H to identify unambiguously the donor and acceptor atoms involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonds in NikA(1–51).⁴⁹ All NMR data were processed using NMRPipe/NMRDraw,⁵⁰ and were analyzed using NMRView,⁵¹ and Sparky†,⁵¹

Structure calculation

The automated NOE assignment⁵² and the structure calculation⁵³ were performed with a modified version of the program CYANA 2.1, which takes the homodimer symmetry explicitly into account for the network-anchoring of the NOE assignments, ensures an identical conformation of the two monomers by dihedral angle difference restraints for all corresponding torsion angles, and maintains a symmetric relative orientation of the two monomers by distance difference restraints between symmetry-related intermolecular Cα-Cα distances.¹⁷ The α-helices and the β-strand were identified from chemical shift information,¹² and intramolecular NOE assignments spanning more than five residues within one α-helix, or more than two residues within one β-strand were excluded in the first two cycles of automated NOE assignment and structure calculation with CYANA. Structure calculations were started from 100 starting conformers with random torsion angle values, and used 20,000 torsion angle dynamics steps per conformer.⁵³ The 20 CYANA conformers with the lowest final target function values were subjected to restrained energy minimization in explicit solvent against the AMBER force field,⁵⁴ using the program OPLS.⁵⁵ Structure figures were generated with the program MOLMOL.⁵⁶

† http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/home/sparky/
DNA-binding assay of NikA mutants

The positively charged residues R18 and R21 in the β-sheet region of full-length NikA were separately changed to Leu, using a QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The presence of the appropriate mutation was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The mutant protein was expressed and purified with the protocols used for wild type NikA. The DNA-binding activity of the mutant NikA was measured by a gel mobility-shift assay.

Data Bank accession codes

The coordinates of the 20 energy-refined CYANA conformers of NikA(1–51) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession code 2BA3. The chemical shifts of NikA(1–51) have been deposited in the BioMagResBank with accession code 15784.
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